Case 1:21-cv-01482-GHW Document 55 Filed 10/03/21 Page 1 of 2

USDC SDNY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	X	DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 10/3/2021
LIFE STYLE FUTON, INC., and EASY FIT, INC.,	: : :	
	:	1:21-cv-1482-GHW
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	<u>ORDER</u>
-V -	:	
EASYFIT SLIPCOVER LTD., et al.	: :	
Defendants.	:	
	:	
	:	
	X	
GREGORY H. WOODS, United States District Iu	dge:	

The parties' motion for the Court to approve their proposed consent judgment, Dkt. No. 53, is denied. The Second Circuit has "often compared stipulated settlements to contracts, and . . . consistently applied the law of contract to disputes concerning the construction and enforcement of settlements However, when a district court 'so orders' a stipulated settlement, it does accept some obligations. The clearest obligation is a duty to enforce the stipulation that it has approved."

Geller v. Branic Int'l Realty Corp., 212 F.3d 734, 737 (2d Cir. 2000). "Unlike a private agreement, a consent judgment contemplates judicial interests apart from those of the litigants." EEOC v. Local 580 Int'l Ass'n of Bridge, Structural & Ornamental Workers, 925 F.2d 588, 593 (2d Cir. 1991).

"A court must scrutinize a proposed settlement before giving it a judicial imprimatur."

United States v. International Brotherhood of Teamsters, 970 F.2d 1132, 1137 (2d Cir. 1992). "The district court must ensure that the agreement 'does not put the court's sanction on and power behind a decree that violates Constitution, statute, or jurisprudence." Id. (citation omitted)). Ultimately, "a federal court is more than a recorder of contracts from whom parties can purchase injunctions; it is an organ of government constituted to make judicial decisions." Local No. 93 Int'l Ass'n of Firefighters v. City of Cleveland, 478 U.S. 501, 525 (1986) (internal citations and quotations omitted).

Fundamentally, the Court does not have a basis upon which to conclude that the terms of the parties' private agreement are appropriate. This Court does not convert private parties' agreements into orders of the Court simply because the parties request that it do so. Here, the Court has no other factual or legal basis to order the parties' agreement. Not every settlement agreement between parties should or must be converted into an order of the Court. The Court declines to do so here.

The Clerk of Court is directed to terminate the motions pending at Dkt. Nos. 53 and 54. SO ORDERED.

GREGORYH. WOODS United States District Judge

Dated: October 3, 2021

New York, New York

2